Page 7

## REMARKS

In this paper, claims 1, 2, 7 and 9 are currently amended. After entry of the above amendment, claims 1-4 and 6-29 are pending, and claim 5 has been canceled.

The applicant appreciates the allowance of claims 6 and 11-29.

Claims 1-4 and 7-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsuhiko (JP 4-368,290) in view of Turner (US 6,296,072) and Weindorf, et al (US 6,563,479). Claims 1, 2, 7 and 9 have been amended to depend ultimately from allowed claim 6, so claims 1-4 and 7-10 now should be allowable.

Accordingly, it is believed that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 has been overcome by the foregoing amendment and remarks, and it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of this application as amended is respectfully requested. Allowance of all claims is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Jam & Delas

James A. Deland

Reg. No. 31,242

DELAND LAW OFFICE P.O. Box 69 Klamath River, California 96050 (530) 465-2430